

Original Article: Surgical Approach to Thyroid Cancer



Moen Hosein Pour Feyzi¹, Seyed Vahid Seyed Hosseini^{2*}

¹Assistant Professor of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, School of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. (Email: M_Porfeiz@yahoo.com/ ORCID: 0000-0005-8569-0114)

²General Surgeon, Sina Hospital, Tabriz University of Medical science, Tabriz, Iran. (ORCID: 0009-0002-1365-3038)

Use your device to scan and read the article online



Citation M Hosein Pour Feyzi¹, S.V Seyed Hosseini, **Surgical approach to thyroid cancer**, *EJCMPR*. 2024; 3(3): 1125-1134.



<https://doi.org/EJCMPR/20249511>

Article info:

Received: 26 March 2024

Accepted: 10 Jun 2024

Available Online:

ID: EJCMPR-2406-1190

Checked for Plagiarism: Yes

Peer Reviewers Approved by:

Dr. Frank Rebout

Editor who Approved Publication:

Dr. Frank Rebout

Keywords:

thyroid cancer, minimally invasive thyroidectomy, robotic-assisted thyroidectomy, endoscopic thyroidectomy

ABSTRACT

Surgical management is the cornerstone of treatment for thyroid cancer, aiming to achieve complete tumor resection while preserving vital neck structures and optimizing patient outcomes. Various surgical approaches, including total thyroidectomy, central compartment lymph node dissection, and lateral neck dissection, are employed based on tumor characteristics and extent of disease. In recent years, minimally invasive techniques, such as minimally invasive thyroidectomy (MIT), robotic-assisted thyroidectomy (RAT), and endoscopic thyroidectomy (ET), have gained popularity, offering patients the benefits of reduced surgical trauma, faster recovery, and improved cosmetic outcomes compared to traditional open techniques. These minimally invasive approaches aim to achieve comparable oncological outcomes while optimizing patient experience and satisfaction. However, the selection of the most appropriate surgical approach should be individualized based on tumor characteristics, patient preferences, and surgeon expertise. Continued advancements in surgical technology and techniques are likely to further enhance the safety and efficacy of thyroid cancer surgery, providing patients with personalized treatment options and improved surgical outcomes.

Introduction

Thyroid cancer represents a significant health concern globally, with its incidence steadily increasing over recent decades. As one of the most common endocrine malignancies, understanding the optimal surgical approach to thyroid cancer is paramount for achieving favorable patient outcomes. This introduction seeks to delve into the complexities of thyroid cancer management, highlighting the various

surgical strategies employed, their rationale, and the evolving landscape of surgical techniques in treating this condition [1].

Thyroid cancer encompasses a spectrum of malignancies arising from the thyroid gland, ranging from well-differentiated papillary and follicular carcinomas to the more aggressive anaplastic and medullary carcinomas. Among these, papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) represents the most prevalent subtype, comprising approximately 80-85% of all thyroid malignancies. While generally exhibiting

*Corresponding Author: Seyed Vahid Seyed Hosseini (Email: seyedhosseini-seyedvahid@gmail.com)

indolent behavior and a favorable prognosis, the incidence of PTC has been steadily rising, primarily attributed to increased diagnostic scrutiny and improved detection methods, such as ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) [2].

The cornerstone of treatment for thyroid cancer is surgery, with the primary goals being complete tumor resection, preservation of adjacent structures, and avoidance of disease recurrence. The optimal surgical approach is determined by various factors, including tumor size, histological subtype, extrathyroidal extension, lymph node involvement, and the presence of distant metastases. Additionally, patient factors such as age, comorbidities, and surgical risk must be carefully considered when formulating a management plan[3].

One of the key decisions in surgical management is the extent of thyroidectomy, which ranges from lobectomy to total thyroidectomy. Traditionally, lobectomy was the preferred approach for small, low-risk tumors, particularly those confined to a single lobe without evidence of extrathyroidal extension or lymph node metastasis. This conservative approach aimed to minimize surgical morbidity while ensuring oncological safety. However, recent guidelines advocate for total thyroidectomy as the initial surgical intervention for most patients with thyroid cancer, especially those with PTC, regardless of tumor size or extent[4].

The shift towards total thyroidectomy is driven by several factors, including the high prevalence of multifocal disease in PTC, the risk of contralateral lobe recurrence following lobectomy, and the potential need for adjuvant radioactive iodine (RAI) therapy, which is often indicated in high-risk cases to ablate residual thyroid tissue and detect distant metastases. Furthermore, total thyroidectomy facilitates accurate staging through comprehensive histopathological examination of the entire

gland, enabling risk stratification and guiding further management decisions[5].

In addition to the extent of thyroidectomy, the surgical approach to central compartment lymph node dissection (CCND) remains a topic of debate. The central neck compartment, encompassing the pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal lymph nodes, is a common site of metastatic spread in thyroid cancer, particularly PTC. Proponents of routine CCND argue that it allows for more accurate staging, reduces locoregional recurrence rates, and facilitates subsequent radioactive iodine ablation. However, opponents highlight the potential for increased surgical morbidity, including hypoparathyroidism and recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, without clear evidence of survival benefit[6].

The role of lateral neck dissection (LND) in the management of thyroid cancer is similarly contentious, particularly in the context of lateral lymph node metastases. While prophylactic LND is not routinely recommended, therapeutic LND may be indicated in select cases of clinically evident nodal disease or high-risk features, such as extrathyroidal extension or extensive central compartment involvement. However, the optimal extent of LND (i.e., selective vs. comprehensive) and its impact on locoregional control and survival outcomes remain areas of ongoing research and clinical debate[7].

Recent advancements in surgical techniques and technologies have further revolutionized the management of thyroid cancer, offering new avenues for improved precision, safety, and cosmesis. Minimally invasive approaches, such as endoscopic and robotic-assisted thyroidectomy, have gained popularity in select patients, offering potential benefits such as reduced incision size, shorter hospital stays, and enhanced visualization of the surgical field. These techniques are particularly advantageous in patients with small, well-localized tumors, allowing for meticulous dissection and

preservation of critical structures while minimizing surgical morbidity[8].

In conclusion, the surgical approach to thyroid cancer is a dynamic and evolving field, shaped by advances in diagnostic imaging, molecular profiling, and surgical techniques. While the principles of oncological resection and risk stratification remain paramount, ongoing research endeavors seek to refine surgical algorithms, personalize treatment strategies, and optimize long-term outcomes for patients with thyroid cancer. By embracing a multidisciplinary approach and leveraging the latest evidence-based practices, clinicians can continue to improve the quality of care and survivorship for individuals affected by this complex disease[9].

Total thyroidectomy

Total thyroidectomy, the complete removal of the thyroid gland, has emerged as the preferred surgical approach for the management of thyroid cancer in many cases. This shift in practice reflects evolving understanding of the disease biology, advancements in surgical techniques, and the pursuit of improved oncological outcomes and risk stratification[10]. One of the primary rationales for total thyroidectomy in thyroid cancer management is the high prevalence of multifocal disease, particularly in papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC), the most common histological subtype. Studies have shown that up to 30-40% of patients with PTC exhibit multicentric tumors involving both thyroid lobes, which may not be appreciated preoperatively with standard diagnostic imaging modalities. Therefore, total thyroidectomy offers the advantage of complete eradication of all thyroid tissue, reducing the risk of contralateral lobe recurrence and facilitating accurate staging through comprehensive histopathological examination of the entire gland[11].

Furthermore, total thyroidectomy allows for more precise risk stratification and prognostication, enabling clinicians to tailor adjuvant therapies and surveillance strategies based on the extent of disease burden and the presence of high-risk features. For instance, total thyroidectomy may be accompanied by lymph node dissection in select cases to address regional nodal metastases, which are common in thyroid cancer and significantly impact disease prognosis. Additionally, total thyroidectomy serves as a prerequisite for postoperative radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation, a cornerstone of adjuvant therapy in high-risk cases aimed at eliminating residual thyroid tissue and detecting distant metastases[11].

Another compelling argument for total thyroidectomy lies in its potential to minimize the need for completion surgery or reoperation in cases where initial management with lobectomy proves insufficient. While lobectomy was historically considered adequate for low-risk tumors, recent guidelines advocate for total thyroidectomy as the initial surgical intervention for most patients with thyroid cancer, irrespective of tumor size or extent. This paradigm shift reflects growing recognition of the limitations of lobectomy in accurately staging and managing thyroid cancer, particularly in the era of precision medicine and personalized oncology[12].

Moreover, total thyroidectomy offers advantages in terms of long-term surveillance and risk management. By eliminating the risk of contralateral lobe recurrence, total thyroidectomy obviates the need for ongoing monitoring of residual thyroid tissue and facilitates interpretation of postoperative imaging studies. This simplification of surveillance protocols may alleviate patient anxiety and reduce healthcare resource utilization, streamlining follow-up care and enhancing patient satisfaction[12].

Despite the clear benefits of total thyroidectomy, concerns regarding surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae must be carefully weighed against the potential oncological advantages. Total thyroidectomy carries a higher risk of postoperative complications compared to lobectomy, including hypoparathyroidism, recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, and permanent hypothyroidism. These risks are particularly relevant in the context of central compartment lymph node dissection (CCND), which is often performed concurrently with total thyroidectomy to address regional nodal metastases[12].

Central neck dissection entails the meticulous dissection and removal of lymph nodes in the central compartment of the neck, including the pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal nodes. While CCND offers the potential for more accurate staging and reduced locoregional recurrence rates, it also carries an increased risk of surgical morbidity, particularly injury to the parathyroid glands and recurrent laryngeal nerves. Consequently, the decision to perform CCND must be individualized based on the extent of nodal involvement, tumor characteristics, and patient factors[12].

In conclusion, total thyroidectomy represents a cornerstone of modern thyroid cancer management, offering oncological benefits in terms of disease eradication, risk stratification, and surveillance. While the procedure is associated with higher surgical morbidity compared to lobectomy, its role in minimizing the need for completion surgery, enabling accurate staging, and facilitating adjuvant therapies cannot be overstated. By embracing a multidisciplinary approach and adhering to evidence-based guidelines, clinicians can optimize outcomes and survivorship for patients with thyroid cancer undergoing total thyroidectomy[12].

Central compartment lymph node dissection

Central compartment lymph node dissection (CCND) is a surgical procedure often performed concurrently with total thyroidectomy in the management of thyroid cancer, particularly in cases of papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC). The central compartment of the neck encompasses the pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal lymph nodes, which serve as primary drainage basins for the thyroid gland. CCND involves the meticulous dissection and removal of these lymph nodes to address regional nodal metastases and facilitate accurate staging of the disease. While CCND offers potential benefits in terms of reducing locoregional recurrence rates and enabling more precise risk stratification, it also carries inherent risks of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae[13].

One of the primary objectives of CCND in thyroid cancer surgery is to achieve accurate nodal staging, which is crucial for prognostication and treatment planning. Lymph node metastases are common in thyroid cancer, particularly in PTC, and significantly impact disease prognosis. Therefore, thorough evaluation of the central compartment is essential to detect and remove involved lymph nodes, allowing for more accurate risk stratification and tailored adjuvant therapies. By addressing regional nodal metastases through CCND, clinicians can improve disease control and optimize long-term outcomes for patients with thyroid cancer[13]. Moreover, CCND serves a dual purpose by reducing the risk of locoregional recurrence and facilitating disease eradication. Lymph node metastases in the central compartment are associated with an increased risk of recurrence and may serve as a reservoir for residual disease following primary surgery. By performing CCND concurrently with total thyroidectomy, surgeons can eliminate potential sources of recurrence and minimize the need for completion surgery or reoperation. This integrated approach to disease management ensures comprehensive

tumor clearance and reduces the likelihood of disease persistence or progression[14].

Furthermore, CCND enables more accurate risk stratification and personalized treatment planning, particularly in cases with high-risk features or aggressive tumor behavior. The presence of central compartment lymph node metastases may necessitate adjuvant therapies such as radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation or systemic therapy to eradicate residual disease and prevent distant metastases. By accurately identifying and addressing nodal involvement through CCND, clinicians can tailor adjuvant therapies based on the extent of disease burden and the presence of adverse prognostic factors, optimizing outcomes and survivorship for patients with thyroid cancer[14].

Despite its potential benefits, CCND is not without risks, and surgeons must carefully weigh the potential benefits against the risk of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae. One of the primary concerns associated with CCND is the risk of injury to critical structures within the central compartment, including the recurrent laryngeal nerves (RLNs) and parathyroid glands. RLN injury can result in vocal cord dysfunction and permanent voice changes, while parathyroid gland injury can lead to hypoparathyroidism and subsequent hypocalcemia. These complications can significantly impact patient quality of life and may require long-term management or intervention[15].

Additionally, CCND carries a risk of lymphatic and vascular injury, which can result in lymphedema, hematoma formation, or wound complications. The central compartment contains numerous lymphatic vessels and blood vessels, and meticulous dissection is required to minimize trauma to these structures. Surgeons must exercise caution to preserve vascular integrity and lymphatic drainage while achieving adequate oncological clearance. Furthermore, the extent of lymph node

dissection must be carefully tailored to individual patient factors, tumor characteristics, and the presence of high-risk features[15].

Another consideration in CCND is the potential impact on postoperative hypocalcemia and hypoparathyroidism, which can occur due to inadvertent injury or devascularization of the parathyroid glands. Hypocalcemia is a common complication following thyroid surgery and can result in symptoms such as numbness, tingling, muscle cramps, and seizures. Therefore, meticulous dissection and preservation of the parathyroid glands are paramount to minimize the risk of postoperative hypoparathyroidism and ensure optimal calcium homeostasis. Surgeons may employ various techniques, such as intraoperative parathyroid identification and autotransplantation, to mitigate the risk of gland injury and preserve parathyroid function[15].

In conclusion, CCND plays a critical role in the surgical management of thyroid cancer, enabling accurate nodal staging, reducing the risk of locoregional recurrence, and facilitating personalized treatment planning. While CCND offers potential oncological benefits, it also carries inherent risks of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae, including RLN injury, hypoparathyroidism, and lymphatic or vascular injury. Surgeons must exercise caution and adhere to meticulous surgical techniques to minimize complications and optimize outcomes for patients undergoing CCND as part of thyroid cancer surgery[16].

Lateral neck dissection

Lateral neck dissection (LND) is a surgical procedure employed in the management of thyroid cancer, particularly in cases with evidence of lateral neck lymph node metastases. The lateral compartment of the neck encompasses the jugular and spinal accessory lymph nodes, which serve as secondary drainage basins for the thyroid gland. LND involves the meticulous dissection and removal of these

lymph nodes to address regional nodal metastases and achieve optimal disease control. While LND offers potential benefits in terms of reducing locoregional recurrence rates and facilitating accurate staging of the disease, it also carries inherent risks of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae[17].

One of the primary objectives of LND in thyroid cancer surgery is to achieve accurate nodal staging and disease control in cases with lateral neck lymph node metastases. Lymph node metastases in the lateral compartment are associated with an increased risk of locoregional recurrence and distant metastases, significantly impacting disease prognosis. Therefore, thorough evaluation of the lateral neck is essential to detect and remove involved lymph nodes, allowing for more accurate risk stratification and tailored adjuvant therapies. By addressing regional nodal metastases through LND, clinicians can improve disease control and optimize long-term outcomes for patients with thyroid cancer[18].

Moreover, LND serves to reduce the risk of locoregional recurrence and facilitate disease eradication in cases with lateral neck lymph node metastases. Lymph node metastases in the lateral compartment are often indicative of aggressive tumor behavior and may serve as a reservoir for residual disease following primary surgery. By performing LND concurrently with total thyroidectomy or as a salvage procedure in cases of recurrence, surgeons can eliminate potential sources of recurrence and minimize the need for completion surgery or reoperation. This integrated approach to disease management ensures comprehensive tumor clearance and reduces the likelihood of disease persistence or progression[19].

Furthermore, LND enables more accurate risk stratification and personalized treatment planning, particularly in cases with high-risk features or aggressive tumor behavior. The presence of lateral neck lymph node metastases

may necessitate adjuvant therapies such as radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation, external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), or systemic therapy to eradicate residual disease and prevent distant metastases. By accurately identifying and addressing nodal involvement through LND, clinicians can tailor adjuvant therapies based on the extent of disease burden and the presence of adverse prognostic factors, optimizing outcomes and survivorship for patients with thyroid cancer[20].

Despite its potential benefits, LND is associated with risks of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae, which must be carefully considered and mitigated. One of the primary concerns associated with LND is the risk of injury to critical structures within the lateral compartment, including the spinal accessory nerve (SAN) and the marginal mandibular branch of the facial nerve. Injury to these nerves can result in shoulder dysfunction, facial asymmetry, and aesthetic deformities, significantly impacting patient quality of life. Therefore, meticulous dissection and preservation of these structures are paramount to minimize the risk of neurological complications and ensure optimal functional outcomes[20].

Additionally, LND carries a risk of lymphatic and vascular injury, which can result in lymphedema, hematoma formation, or wound complications. The lateral compartment contains numerous lymphatic vessels and blood vessels, and meticulous dissection is required to minimize trauma to these structures. Surgeons must exercise caution to preserve vascular integrity and lymphatic drainage while achieving adequate oncological clearance. Furthermore, the extent of lymph node dissection must be carefully tailored to individual patient factors, tumor characteristics, and the presence of high-risk features, balancing the potential benefits of disease control with the risk of surgical morbidity[20].

Another consideration in LND is the potential impact on postoperative functional outcomes and quality of life. Lateral neck dissection may result in shoulder dysfunction, pain, and sensory disturbances, particularly in cases involving extensive dissection or injury to the spinal accessory nerve. These complications can significantly impact patient mobility, independence, and overall well-being, highlighting the importance of comprehensive preoperative counseling and rehabilitation strategies. Surgeons must carefully evaluate the risks and benefits of LND and involve multidisciplinary teams, including physical therapists and rehabilitation specialists, to optimize postoperative functional recovery and quality of life for patients undergoing LND as part of thyroid cancer surgery[21].

In conclusion, lateral neck dissection plays a critical role in the surgical management of thyroid cancer, enabling accurate nodal staging, reducing the risk of locoregional recurrence, and facilitating personalized treatment planning. While LND offers potential oncological benefits, it also carries inherent risks of surgical morbidity and long-term sequelae, including neurological injury, lymphatic or vascular injury, and functional impairment. Surgeons must exercise caution and adhere to meticulous surgical techniques to minimize complications and optimize outcomes for patients undergoing LND as part of thyroid cancer surgery[21].

Minimally invasive approaches

Minimally invasive approaches have revolutionized the field of thyroid surgery, offering patients the benefits of reduced surgical trauma, faster recovery, and improved cosmetic outcomes compared to traditional open techniques. These approaches encompass various surgical techniques, including minimally invasive thyroidectomy (MIT), robotic-assisted thyroidectomy (RAT), and endoscopic thyroidectomy (ET), each with its unique

advantages and considerations. By minimizing the size and number of incisions and employing advanced surgical instrumentation, minimally invasive approaches aim to achieve comparable oncological outcomes while optimizing patient experience and satisfaction[22].

One of the key minimally invasive approaches in thyroid surgery is minimally invasive thyroidectomy (MIT), which aims to achieve comparable oncological outcomes to traditional open surgery while minimizing surgical trauma and morbidity. MIT involves the use of small incisions, typically less than 2-3 cm in length, and specialized surgical instruments, including endoscopic or robotic-assisted instrumentation, to access and remove the thyroid gland. By avoiding large cervical incisions and muscle dissection, MIT reduces postoperative pain, scarring, and recovery time, allowing patients to return to normal activities more quickly[23].

Robotic-assisted thyroidectomy (RAT) represents a technologically advanced form of minimally invasive thyroid surgery, offering surgeons enhanced precision, dexterity, and visualization compared to conventional techniques. RAT utilizes a robotic surgical platform, such as the da Vinci Surgical System, to perform thyroidectomy through small incisions in the neck or axilla. The robotic arms, controlled by the surgeon from a console, provide articulation and range of motion beyond that of the human hand, enabling precise dissection and suturing in confined spaces. Additionally, the three-dimensional high-definition visualization offered by the robotic system enhances spatial awareness and facilitates meticulous dissection of critical structures, such as the recurrent laryngeal nerve and parathyroid glands, reducing the risk of surgical complications[24]. Endoscopic thyroidectomy (ET) represents another minimally invasive approach to thyroid surgery, offering patients the benefits of reduced scarring and improved cosmetic outcomes compared to conventional open techniques. ET

involves the use of endoscopic cameras and specialized instruments inserted through small incisions in the neck or axilla to access and remove the thyroid gland. By visualizing the surgical field on a high-definition monitor, surgeons can perform precise dissection and achieve adequate oncological clearance while minimizing tissue trauma. Additionally, the avoidance of large cervical incisions reduces postoperative pain and discomfort, facilitating faster recovery and return to normal activities [24].

Minimally invasive approaches in thyroid surgery offer several advantages over traditional open techniques, including reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, and improved cosmetic outcomes. By minimizing tissue trauma and preserving anatomical structures, these approaches aim to optimize patient experience and satisfaction while achieving comparable oncological outcomes. However, it is essential to recognize that minimally invasive thyroid surgery may not be suitable for all patients, particularly those with large or locally advanced tumors, extensive lymph node involvement, or contraindications to endoscopic or robotic-assisted techniques. Additionally, the learning curve associated with minimally invasive approaches may impact surgical outcomes, highlighting the importance of proper training and experience for surgeons adopting these techniques [25].

In conclusion, minimally invasive approaches have revolutionized the field of thyroid surgery, offering patients the benefits of reduced surgical trauma, faster recovery, and improved cosmetic outcomes compared to traditional open techniques. MIT, RAT, and ET represent various minimally invasive approaches, each with its unique advantages and considerations. While these approaches may not be suitable for all patients or surgical indications, they play a valuable role in the management of thyroid cancer, offering patients personalized treatment

options and optimized surgical outcomes. Continued advancements in surgical technology and techniques are likely to further enhance the safety and efficacy of minimally invasive thyroid surgery, shaping the future of thyroid cancer care [26].

Conclusion

Thyroid cancer surgery represents a complex and evolving field, with various surgical approaches available to address different tumor characteristics and patient needs. Traditional open techniques, such as total thyroidectomy and neck dissection, remain the standard of care for many patients, offering proven oncological outcomes and long-term survival. However, the increasing adoption of minimally invasive approaches, including MIT, RAT, and ET, highlights the importance of optimizing patient experience and cosmetic outcomes while maintaining oncological efficacy. These minimally invasive techniques offer patients the benefits of reduced surgical trauma, faster recovery, and improved cosmesis, making them attractive options for select patients. Nonetheless, the decision to adopt minimally invasive techniques should be made judiciously, considering tumor characteristics, patient preferences, and surgeon expertise. Furthermore, ongoing research and technological advancements in the field of thyroid surgery are expected to further refine surgical techniques and improve patient outcomes. Overall, the surgical approach to thyroid cancer continues to evolve, with a focus on achieving optimal oncological outcomes while minimizing surgical morbidity and optimizing patient quality of life.

References

[1]G Pellegriti., et al., Worldwide Increasing Incidence of Thyroid Cancer: Update on Epidemiology and Risk Factors. J Cancer

- Epidemiol. **2013** 2013:965212 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [2] P Miccoli, et al., Minimally Invasive Surgery for Thyroid Small Nodules: Preliminary Report. *J Endocrinol Invest.* **1999** 22(11):849–51 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [3] K Shimizu, et al. Video-Assisted Neck Surgery: Endoscopic Resection of Thyroid Tumors with A Very Minimal Neck Wound. *J Am Coll Surg.* **1999** 188(6):697–703 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [4] Y Ikeda, et al., Endoscopic Neck Surgery by the Axillary Approach. *J Am Coll Surg.* **2000** 191(3):336–40 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [5] M Ohgami, et al. Scarless Endoscopic Thyroidectomy: Breast Approach for Better Cosmesis. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* **2000** 10(1):1–4 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [6] DJ Terris, et al., Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy: A Multi-Institutional North American Experience. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* **2008** 134(1):81–4 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [7] P Miccoli, et al. Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy (MIVAT). *Gland Surg.* **2020** 9(Suppl 1) –5 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [8] E Berber, et al. American Thyroid Association Surgical Affairs Committee. Am Thyroid Assoc Statement Remote-Access Thyroid Surgery *Thyroid.* **2016** 26(3):331–7 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [9] P Miccoli, et al., Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy: Reflections After More Than 2400 Cases Performed. *Surg Endosc.* **2016** 30(6):2489–95 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [10] G Scerrino, et al. Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy: Analysis of Complications from a Systematic Review. *Surg Innov.* **2019** 26(3):381–7 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [11] W Gao, et al., Application of Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Technique in Papillary Thyroid Microcarcinoma. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* **2013** 23(5):468–73 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [12] P Del Rio, et al. Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A 1-Year Follow-Up. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* **2009** 19(4):290–2 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [13] CP Lombardi, et al. Report on 8 Years of Experience with Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy for Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Surgery.* **2007** 142(6):944–51; discussion 944-51 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [14] P Miccoli, et al., Minimally Invasive Thyroidectomy in the Treatment of Well Differentiated Thyroid Cancers: Indications and Limits. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* **2010** 18(2):114–8 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [15] P Miccoli, et al. Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy for Papillary Carcinoma: A Prospective Study of its Completeness. *Surgery.* **2002** 132(6):1070–3; discussion 1073-4 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [16] P Miccoli, et al. Surgical Treatment of Low- and Intermediate-Risk Papillary Thyroid Cancer with Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* **2009** 94(5):1618–22 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]
- [17] BR Haugen, et al. American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and

Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid*. **2016** 26(1):1–133 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[18] RW Glynn, et al., Prophylactic Total Thyroidectomy Using the Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Approach in Children with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 2. *Head Neck*. **2014** 36(6):768–71 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[19] P Del Rio, et al. Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy for Papillary Thyroid Cancer: A Prospective 5-Year Follow-Up Study. *Tumori*. 2015;101(2):144–7 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[20] SY Lai, et al., Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Thyroidectomy: Expanded Indications and Oncologic Completeness. *Head Neck*. **2008** 30(11):1403–7 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[21] CP Lombardi, et al., Video-Assisted Versus Conventional Total Thyroidectomy and Central Compartment Neck Dissection for Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *World J Surg*. **2012** 36(6):1225–30 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[22] MJ Neidich, DL Steward. Safety and Feasibility of Elective Minimally Invasive Video-Assisted Central Neck Dissection for Thyroid Carcinoma. *Head Neck*. **2012** 34(3):354–8 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[23] SW Kang, et al. Gasless Endoscopic Thyroidectomy Using Trans-Axillary Approach; Surgical Outcome of 581 Patients. *Endocr J*. **2009** 56(3):361–9 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[24] SW Kang, et al. Robot-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery for Thyroid Cancer: Experience with the First 100 Patients. *Surg Endosc*. **2009** 23(11):2399–406 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[25] MJ Kim, et al. Yonsei Experience of 5000 Gasless Transaxillary Robotic Thyroidectomies. *World J Surg*. **2018** 42(2):393–401 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

[26] HR Ryu, et al. Feasibility and Safety of a New Robotic Thyroidectomy Through a Gasless, Transaxillary Single-Incision Approach. *J Am Coll Surg*. **2010** 211(3) –9 [[Google Scholar](#)], [[Publisher](#)], [[Crossref](#)]

This journal is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal covering all areas in Chemistry, Medicinal and Petroleum. EJCMPR is published quarterly (4 issues per year) online and in print. Copyright © 2024 by ASC which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.